UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,430 | 04/25/2005 | Kenji Yamane | OGW-0365 | 1792 |
| Patrick G. Burn | 7590 10/14/200 S | EXAMINER | | |
| Greer, Burns & | Crain, Ltd. | MAKI, STEVEN D | | |
| Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1791 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/14/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/532,430 | YAMANE, KENJI |
| = | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Steven D. Maki | 1791 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>James Folker</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 October 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 102, 103 | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4, 6 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: prior art of record | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand | he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Steven D. Maki/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative. Examiner informed applicant's representative that the after final amendment filed 9-10-08 is OK TO ENTER, but does not place application in condition for allowance. In particular, examiner informed applicant's representative that, in view of the after final amendment filed 9-10-08, the following rejections are withdrawn: (1) the 112 first and second paragraph rejections, (2) the 102 rejection and (3) the 103 rejection set forth in paragraph 8 of the final office action dated 7-9-08. Examiner also informed applicant's representative that the 103 rejection in paragraph 9 of the final office action dated 7-9-08 stands and that an advisory action will be mailed.